

Message

From: MURRAY, SHARON [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=B5ABE8894E12405F96925D99604474E8-SMURRAY1]
Sent: 5/22/2017 9:20:00 PM
To: Clements, Mindy [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=a005648163404198ba902bcd96bfd8c0-MClement]; Henning, Loren [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=3aae3e0d0d764aa5af728c00cb7ada14-LHENNING]
CC: Burke, NadiaHollan [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=9ff053aaf74c4a17a574a2a9a8c8b70d-NHOLLAN]; Chesnutt, John [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=e1cd369e94474c2c8a876fb16943320a-JCHESNUT]
Subject: RE: TI Question--FW: Question from Bayview Hunters Point IVAN Meeting

Here is the SPIM definition for OCA:

Other Cleanup Activity (OCA)

Activity Definition:

This activity is used to document the referral of a non-NPL site to a state, tribal, or federal environmental cleanup program for remedial-type work without EPA enforcement or oversight. Remedial-type work can include comprehensive site investigations in support of making cleanup determinations, interim cleanup actions, removals or final cleanup decisions, including decisions that cleanup is not required. For this definition, 'without EPA enforcement or oversight' means that there is no continuous and substantive involvement on the part of EPA while remedial-type work is ongoing, such as routinely reviewing work products and other documents and providing comments to the non-EPA party. However, EPA may gather information about activities at an Other Cleanup Activity (OCA) site through meetings or calls with the non-EPA party, by accessing related web sites, or through other means.

OCA status should only be used for sites that have completed a PA within the Superfund site assessment process and are considered to be NPL-caliber (i.e., existing information indicates that the site may achieve an HRS score > 28.5) and the site warrants remedial-type work as described above.

Regions should annually discuss progress of OCA sites with the other party leading or managing the remedial-type work to ensure adequate progress is being made. OCA sites not making adequate progress should be evaluated to determine whether another cleanup approach is warranted.

From: Clements, Mindy
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 2:14 PM
To: Henning, Loren <Henning.Loren@epa.gov>
Cc: Burke, NadiaHollan <Burke.NadiaHollan@epa.gov>; MURRAY, SHARON <Murray.Sharon@epa.gov>; Chesnutt, John <Chesnutt.John@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: TI Question--FW: Question from Bayview Hunters Point IVAN Meeting

Sharon and I just talked to Nadia. This site is a Other Cleanup Action determination, where Superfund would monitor the cleanup activities of another agency. Which is what you are doing Nadia, so the data is correct. The data from Site assessment will not be able to answer the question as to why we did not pursue listing. Sharon and I have no historical knowledge on this site, John, was this a decision made by management at the time?

We would be happy to meet, but I don't think that we have the answer in site assessment as to why it wasn't listed on the NPL.

Thank you,

Mindy Cherng Clements, EPA R9 SFD Brownfields & Site Assessment, 415-972-3169 (w) 415-308-4154 (m)

From: Henning, Loren
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 1:48 PM
To: Clements, Mindy <clements.mindy@epa.gov>
Cc: Burke, NadiaHollan <Burke.NadiaHollan@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: TI Question--FW: Question from Bayview Hunters Point IVAN Meeting

Hi Mindy,

We're going to need help from your staff on this. It might be worthwhile for us to schedule a 30 minute meeting to provide some background.

Loren

From: Burke, NadiaHollan
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 8:07 AM
To: Henning, Loren <Henning.Loren@epa.gov>
Subject: TI Question--FW: Question from Bayview Hunters Point IVAN Meeting

Hi, we also are being asked why TI is not on the NPL and I don't know the answer, and Cal EPA person wants to set up a call. I think this should involve you. I'm sending a separate email to you and the management chain regarding both Mr. Patterson and this Greenaction/Cal EPA inquiry.

At the bottom of this is DTSC's response and mention's the call from a community member, which is Andre Patterson.

Nadia Hollan Burke, Environmental Engineer
Remedial Project Manager (Superfund)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX
75 Hawthorne St. (SFD-8-1)
San Francisco, CA 94105
Phone: 415-972-3187 Fax: 415-947-3511
E-mail: Burke.NadiaHollan@epa.gov

From: Olp, Kevin@EPA [<mailto:Kevin.Olp@calepa.ca.gov>]
Sent: Friday, May 19, 2017 5:05 PM
To: juanita.bacey@dtsc.ca.gov
Cc: Burke, NadiaHollan <Burke.NadiaHollan@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Question from Bayview Hunters Point IVAN Meeting

So this is helpful, but I realized as I was summarizing a response that I'm clear on who the lead agency for the cleanup is, but not why it's not on the NPL list. Can you just walk me through how those designations happen so I can get to the heart of the question? If it's easier we can have a quick phone call next week.

From: Olp, Kevin@EPA
Sent: Thursday, May 18, 2017 4:38 PM
To: 'Bacey, Juanita@DTSC' <Juanita.Bacey@dtsc.ca.gov>
Cc: Burke.NadiaHollan@epa.gov
Subject: RE: Question from Bayview Hunters Point IVAN Meeting

Thanks so much! I forwarded this to the person who requested this information and they said this is what they were looking for. Thanks so much for your help!

Kevin

From: Bacey, Juanita@DTSC [<mailto:Juanita.Bacey@dtsc.ca.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, May 18, 2017 2:04 PM
To: Olp, Kevin@EPA <Kevin.Olp@calepa.ca.gov>
Cc: Burke.NadiaHollan@epa.gov
Subject: RE: Question from Bayview Hunters Point IVAN Meeting

Hi Kevin,

As Lily Lee with the EPA indicated in her recent email, I am the project manager with DTSC assigned to the treasure island project. If you saw the chain of emails from Lily, you saw Nadia's (EPA proj. mgr) response to your question.

Although TI is not on the NPL, it is still treated like a Superfund site in that it is going through the same stringent cleanup requirements (Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) known as "Superfund"). The Navy is required to cleanup following CERCLA just as the Hunters Point site is. However, because Hunters Point is on the NPL list, the U.S. EPA is the lead regulatory agency overseeing the cleanup, along with DTSC and the Water Board. On TI, DTSC is the lead regulatory agency, along with the Water Board. The U.S. EPA has a minor role. The fact that it's not on the NPL list does not affect the cleanup standards.

I have received a call from a community member, as did Nadia, on your question. But it seems no matter how many times we answer it, we still get this question. Feel free to contact me if you have any other questions.

Nina Bacey, Project Manager
Sr. Environmental Scientist
Brownfields & Environmental Restoration
CalEPA – CA Dept. of Toxic Substances Control
700 Heinz Ave, Berkeley, CA 94710
(510) 540 - 2480